arXiv:1406.6067v2 [math.CO] 29 Jul 2014

ORDER COMPLEXES OF COSET POSETS OF FINITE GROUPS ARE NOT CONTRACTIBLE

JOHN SHARESHIAN AND RUSS WOODROOFE

Abstract. We show that the order complex of the poset of all cosets of all proper subgroups of a finite group G is never $\mathbb{F}_2$-acyclic and therefore never contractible. This settles a question of K. S. Brown.

1. Introduction

We settle a question asked by K. S. Brown in [9]. For a group G, $\mathcal{C}(G)$ will denote the poset of all cosets of all proper subgroups of G, ordered by inclusion. For a poset P, ΔP will denote the order complex of P. Other terms used but not defined in this introduction are defined in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1. *If G is a finite group, then $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G)$ is not $\mathbb{F}_2$-acyclic, and therefore is not contractible.*

With some explicitly stated exceptions, the groups, partially ordered sets and simplicial complexes considered herein are assumed to be finite. We assume some familiarity with topological combinatorics (see for example [4, 44]), along with the rudiments of algebraic topology (see for example [20, 28]) and group theory (see for example [2, 14]).

1.1. **History and motivation.** The topology of $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G)$ was studied by Brown in [9]. More general coset complexes were studied from a somewhat different point of view by Abels and Holz in [1]. However, from our perspective (and that of Brown), the story begins with the work of P. Hall, who in [19] introduced generalized Möbius inversion in order to enumerate generating sequences. Hall considered the probability $P_G(k)$ that a k-tuple $(g_1, \ldots, g_k)$ of elements of a group G, chosen uniformly with replacement, includes a generating set for G. He showed that

$$P_G(k) = \sum_{H \leq G} \mu(H, G)[G : H]^{-k},$$

where μ is the Möbius function on the subgroup lattice of G. (We mention that Weisner introduced generalized Möbius inversion independently in [45]. See [42, Chapter 3] for a comprehensive discussion of this theory.)

The first author was supported in part by NSF Grants DMS-0902142 and DMS-1202337.

Bouc observed that $-P_G(-1)$ is the reduced Euler characteristic $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta\mathcal{C}(G))$. Indeed, Hall showed in [19] that if $\widehat{P}$ is obtained from P by adding a minimum element $\hat{0}$ and a maximum element $\hat{1}$, then

$$\tilde{\chi}(\Delta P) = \mu_{\widehat{P}}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}).$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\mu_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}(G)}}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = -P_G(-1).$$

This led to Brown's work, in which he obtained divisibility results for $P_G(-1)$ using group actions on $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G)$.

Brown found no group G for which $P_G(-1) = 0$. As the reduced Euler characteristic of a contractible complex is zero, the question of contractibility arises naturally. Previous progress on this question involved showing that $P_G(-1) \neq 0$. Gaschütz showed in [17, Satz 2] that $P_G(-1) \neq 0$ when G is solvable. (Brown refined this result by calculating the homotopy type of $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G)$ for a solvable group G in [9, Proposition 11].) Patassini proved $P_G(-1) \neq 0$ for many almost simple groups G in [30, 31]. He obtained further results for some groups with minimal normal subgroups that are products of alternating groups in [34]. The question of whether $P_G(-1)$ is nonzero for all (finite) G remains open.

Abels and Holz consider in [1] a more general class of posets. Let G be a (possibly infinite) group and $\mathcal{H}$ be a collection of proper subgroups of G that is closed under intersection. Abels and Holz study the order complex of the poset $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(G)$ of all cosets of all subgroups in $\mathcal{H}$. In their Theorem 2.4, they describe relations between connectivity properties of $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(G)$ and the structure of G. Our Theorem 1.1 says that $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(G)$ is not infinitely connected when G is finite and $\mathcal{H}$ contains all proper subgroups of G. In contrast, Ramras in [36, Remark 2.4] noticed that $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G)$ is contractible when G is not finitely generated.

Some other papers on the topology of $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G)$ are [43, 47, 48].

1.2. **A brief description of our proof.** Our proof has three main ingredients, namely, a "join theorem" of Brown, the Classification of Finite Simple Groups, and P. A. Smith Theory.

Brown showed that, given a group G and normal subgroup N, there is a subposet $\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ such that $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G)$ is homotopy equivalent to the join $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N) * \Delta\mathcal{C}(G/N)$. This result allows us to use induction on $|G|$. We complete the proof by showing that $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ is not $\mathbb{F}_2$-acyclic when N is a minimal normal subgroup of G. Such a subgroup is a direct product of pairwise isomorphic simple groups.

In order to show $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ is not $\mathbb{F}_2$-acyclic, we use Smith Theory and the Classification. For each possible minimal normal subgroup N, we describe a group E such that E acts on $\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ with no fixed point. Using results of

Smith and Oliver, we choose E so as to preclude a fixed-point-free action on an $\mathbb{F}_2$-acyclic complex.

1.3. **Further comments.**

1.3.1. Theorem 1.1 stands in clear contrast to other results on order complexes of posets naturally associated to finite groups. Consider the poset $\mathcal{L}(G)$ of nontrivial proper subgroups of G, ordered by inclusion. The complex $\Delta\mathcal{L}(G)$ is contractible for many groups, including all those with nontrivial Frattini subgroup.

Next, let p be a prime. Consider the subposet $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ consisting of all p-subgroups and the subposet $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$ of $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ consisting of all elementary abelian p-subgroups. The order complexes $\Delta\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ and $\Delta\mathcal{A}_p(G)$ were first studied, respectively, by Brown in [6, 7] and Quillen in [35]. These two complexes are homotopy equivalent. They are contractible when G has a nontrivial normal p-subgroup. The converse of this last statement is a well-known conjecture of Quillen, see [35, Conjecture 2.9].

1.3.2. The identity $-P_G(-1) = \tilde{\chi}(\Delta\mathcal{C}(G))$ can be considered to be an example of the phenomenon of *combinatorial reciprocity*. Often some objects of interest are counted by evaluating an appropriate function at positive integers. Combinatorial reciprocity occurs when evaluation of the same function at negative integers counts some closely related objects. Combinatorial reciprocity is discussed in [3, 40, 41, 42]. At this point we know of no interesting interpretation of $P_G(-n)$ for integers $n > 1$. More generally, one can evaluate P_G at any complex number s. The study of $P_G(s)$ as a complex function was initiated by Boston in [5] and Mann in [27], and was continued by various authors. See for example [10, 11, 12, 13, 30, 32, 33, 38].

1.3.3. In Lemma 3.17 (3) below, we note that if L is a finite simple group of Lie type or a sporadic simple group, then there exists some odd prime p such that $L = \langle P, R\rangle$ whenever P is a Sylow p-subgroup of L and R is a Sylow 2-subgroup of L. This property need not hold when L is an alternating group. However, one can ask whether for each n there exist primes $p = p(n)$ and $r = r(n)$ such that $\langle P, R\rangle = A_n$ whenever P is a Sylow p-subgroup and R is a Sylow r-subgroup of A_n. This interesting question remains open. It is related to a question raised by Dolfi, Guralnick, Herzog and Praeger in [15, Section 6]. These authors ask whether for each n there exist conjugacy classes C, D in A_n consisting of elements of prime-power order, such that $\langle c, d\rangle = A_n$ for all $(c, d) \in C \times D$. A positive answer to their question immediately implies a positive answer to ours. We will address related questions in a forthcoming paper.

1.3.4. To our knowledge, the first use of Smith Theory in combinatorics appears in work of Kahn, Saks and Sturtevant. In the paper [23], these authors use the work of Smith and Oliver mentioned above to obtain a striking result about computational complexity.

1.4. **Contents of the paper.** In Section 2, we introduce some basic facts and definitions. In Section 3, we reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 to some claims about nonabelian finite simple groups. In the remaining sections, we use the Classification to prove these claims. In Sections 4 and 5, we prove the required result for alternating groups. The group A_7 requires more care than the other alternating groups. In Section 6, we handle sporadic groups and groups of Lie type.

Acknowledgements

We thank Anders Björner, Bob Guralnick, Andrea Lucchini, Richard Lyons, and Massimiliano Patassini for helpful discussions and correspondence. The first author was inspired to think about this problem during a visit to the Università degli Studi di Padova in Spring 2011. He thanks the mathematics department there, and in particular Andrea Lucchini and Eloisa Detomi, for their gracious hospitality. The second author is grateful to the University of Primorska for their hospitality (with support from the European Social Fund and the Ministry of Education, Science and Sport of the Republic of Slovenia) during his visit of Spring 2014.

2. Preliminaries

Here we introduce some basic definitions and facts. A reader who is familiar with topological combinatorics and group theory can skip this section safely, and refer to it as necessary.

2.1. **Groups and cosets.** As is standard, we write K^g for $g^{-1}Kg$ whenever $K \subseteq G$ and $g \in G$, and write x^g for $g^{-1}xg$. Similarly, we write K^α and x^α for the images of K and x under an automorphism α of G.

When referring to a coset, we mean a right coset. This causes no loss of generality, as every coset of every subgroup of G is a right coset of some subgroup. Indeed, $xH = H^{x^{-1}}x$. It is not hard to see that every coset is a right coset of a unique subgroup.

2.2. **Simplicial complexes.** An *abstract simplicial complex* is a collection Δ of sets (called *faces*) such that if $S \in \Delta$ and $T \subseteq S$ then $T \in \Delta$. We make no distinction between an abstract simplicial complex and its geometric realization.

Let P be a (finite) poset. The *order complex* ΔP is the simplicial complex whose k-dimensional faces are the chains of length k (size $k+1$) in P.

If Δ and Γ are simplicial complexes on disjoint vertex sets, the *join* $\Delta * \Gamma$ is the complex whose faces are all sets $S \cup T$ such that $S \in \Delta$ and $T \in \Gamma$.

Associated to a simplicial complex Δ and a ring R are the *reduced simplicial homology groups* $\widetilde{H}_i(\Delta; R)$, as described (for example) in [28]. A complex Δ is called *R-acyclic* if $\widetilde{H}_i(\Delta; R) = 0$ for every integer i. Every contractible complex is R-acyclic for all R. Every nonempty R-acyclic complex has at least one nonempty face. Indeed, $\widetilde{H}_{-1}(\{\emptyset\}; R) \cong R$, hence the complex $\{\emptyset\}$ is not acyclic over any ring R. The simplicial complexes that we consider all contain the empty face $\emptyset$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Here we prove Theorem 1.1, although we defer the proofs of some key lemmas on simple groups to later sections. Let us first collect some main ingredients in the proof.

3.1. Brown's Join Theorem for $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G)$.

Given a normal subgroup N of G, we define the *relative coset poset* to be

$$\mathcal{C}(G, N) := \{Hx \in \mathcal{C}(G) : HN = G\}.$$

The next result, due to Brown, is key to our proof.

Theorem 3.1 (Brown's Join Theorem [9, Proposition 10])**.** *If G is a group and N is a normal subgroup of G, then $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G/N) * \Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N)$.*

Corollary 3.2. *Let p be a prime. Let N be a normal subgroup of G. The complex $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G)$ is $\mathbb{F}_p$-acyclic if and only if at least one of $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ and $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G/N)$ is $\mathbb{F}_p$-acyclic.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 and the Künneth Formula for joins (see for example [4, (9.12)]). □

We see now that Theorem 1.1 follows quickly from the next result.

Theorem 3.3. *If N is a minimal normal subgroup of G, then $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ is not $\mathbb{F}_2$-acyclic.*

Proof (of Theorem 1.1, assuming Theorem 3.3). We proceed by induction on the order of G. If $G = 1$, then $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G) = \{\emptyset\}$. Now assume $|G| > 1$, and let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G. The complex $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G/N)$ is not $\mathbb{F}_2$-acyclic by inductive hypothesis and $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ is not $\mathbb{F}_2$-acyclic by Theorem 3.3. Theorem 1.1 now follows from Corollary 3.2. □

It remains to prove Theorem 3.3. In the rest of Section 3, we show how to reduce the proof to certain claims about finite simple groups.

3.2. **Group actions and Smith theory.** In order to prove Theorem 3.3, we use Smith Theory.

Given a group E acting by automorphisms (order preserving bijections) on a poset Q, we write Q^E for the fixed point set

$$Q^E := \{q \in Q : q^g = q \text{ for all } g \in E\}.$$

The action of E on Q induces a simplicial action of E on ΔQ.

Work of Smith in [39] and of Oliver in [29] shows that, given a prime p, certain groups cannot act without fixed points on $\mathbb{F}_p$-acyclic complexes. (A clear summary of this work appears in [29, Section 1].) Applying their results to actions on order complexes, we obtain immediately the next result.

Theorem 3.4 (Smith [39], Oliver [29])**.** *Let p and r be primes. Let Q be a poset such that ΔQ is $\mathbb{F}_p$-acyclic. Let E be a group admitting a normal series $P \trianglelefteq H \trianglelefteq E$ such that*

(1) *P is a p-group,*
(2) *H/P is cyclic, and*
(3) *E/H is an r-group.*

If E acts on Q by automorphisms, then $Q^E \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 3.5. It is not necessary that the primes p, r in Theorem 3.4 be distinct.

Remark 3.6. If $E \cong P \times K$, where P is a p-group and K is either cyclic or an r-group, then E satisfies conditions (1)-(3) of Theorem 3.4. The same holds for $E \cong (P \times K) \rtimes R$, where P is a p-group, R is an r-group, and K is cyclic.

We will apply Theorem 3.4 to $\mathcal{C}(G, N)$. There are two actions on $\mathcal{C}(G)$ that we wish to consider. The first action is that of $G \times G$ by left and right translation. That is,

$$Hx \cdot (g, h) = g^{-1}Hxh = H^g g^{-1} xh \text{ for } (g, h) \in G \times G. \tag{3.1}$$

The second action is by $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$, where

$$(Hx)^\alpha = H^\alpha x^\alpha \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathsf{Aut}(G). \tag{3.2}$$

The component-wise action of $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$ on $G \times G$ gives rise to the semidirect product $A := (G \times G) \rtimes \mathsf{Aut}(G)$. The actions described in (3.1) and (3.2) combine to form a well-defined action of A on $\mathcal{C}(G)$, with (g, h, α) mapping Hx to

$$(Hx \cdot (g, h))^\alpha = (g^{-1}Hxh)^\alpha = (Hx)^\alpha \cdot (g^\alpha, h^\alpha).$$

Remark 3.7. If $|G| > 1$, then the action of A has a nontrivial kernel N. The quotient A/N is called the *holomorph* of G. The kernel of this action will be of no concern to us.

In all but one of our arguments, we will use subgroups of $(G \times G) \rtimes \mathsf{Aut}(G)$ that are contained in $G \times G$. These subgroups will be of the form $P \times K$ with $P, K \leq G$. When we mention an action of such a subgroup on $\mathcal{C}(G)$, we always mean that P acts by left translation and K acts by right translation.

Suppose $N \trianglelefteq G$. If $HN = G$ then $H^g N = G$ for all $g \in G$. It follows that $P \times K$ acts on $\mathcal{C}(G, N)$. Note that $P \times 1$ fixes Hx if and only if $P \leq H$ and $1 \times K$ fixes Hx if and only if $K^{x^{-1}} \leq H$. The next result follows.

Lemma 3.8. *A subgroup $P \times K$ of $G \times G$ fixes $Hx \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ if and only if $\langle P, K^{x^{-1}} \rangle \leq H$.*

3.3. **Minimal normal subgroups.** Along with Smith theory, we use the Classification of Finite Simple Groups to prove Theorem 3.3. Suppose G is nontrivial, and N is a minimal normal subgroup of G. There exist some positive integer t and some simple group L such that N is isomorphic with the direct product of t copies of L. (See for example [14, Theorem 4.3A(iii)].) In this situation, we abuse notation by writing $N = L^t$ and representing an element of N as a t-tuple of elements of L.

The case where the simple group L is cyclic of prime order was already handled by Brown.

Lemma 3.9. *If G has an abelian minimal normal subgroup N, then $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ is not $\mathbb{F}_2$-acyclic.*

Proof. As noted in [9, Proposition 9], the poset $\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ is an antichain of size divisible by $|N|$. Therefore, $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ is not connected if it contains a nonempty face. It follows that one of $\widetilde{H}_{-1}(\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N); \mathbb{F}_2)$ or $\widetilde{H}_0(\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N); \mathbb{F}_2)$ is nontrivial. □

We turn now to the case where $N = L^t$ with L nonabelian simple. A subgroup $K \leq L$ can be embedded in N diagonally, as follows.

Definition 3.10. Given $N = L^t$ and $K \leq L$, we define

$$K^{\mathrm{diag}} := \{(k, \ldots, k) : k \in K\} \leq N.$$

The next definition is key for finding useful group actions on $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N)$.

Definition 3.11. Let G be a group, let $H \leq G$ and let p be a prime. We say that H *universally p-generates* G if $\langle H, P \rangle = G$ whenever P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G.

Remark 3.12. Let p, r be primes. A Sylow r-subgroup of G universally p-generates G if and only if every maximal subgroup of G has index divisible by at least one of p and r.

The importance of Definition 3.11 is apparent from the following lemma.

Lemma 3.13. *Let G be a group and let p be a prime. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$. If $K \leq N$ universally p-generates N and P is any Sylow p-subgroup of N, then $\mathcal{C}(G,N)^{P\times K} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Assume for contradiction that $Hx \in \mathcal{C}(G,N)^{P\times K}$. By Lemma 3.8, H contains both P and $K^{x^{-1}}$. As K universally p-generates N, so does $K^{x^{-1}}$. Therefore, H contains N. This is impossible, as $H < G$ and $HN = G$. □

Lemma 3.14. *Let L be a simple group, let p be a prime, and let t be a positive integer. If a proper subgroup $K < L$ universally p-generates L, then K^{diag} universally p-generates $N := L^t$.*

Proof. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of N. By assumption, $N = \prod_{i=1}^{t} L_i$ with each $L_i \cong L$. It is not hard to see that $P = \prod_{i=1}^{t}(P \cap L_i)$. Moreover, $P \cap L_i$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of L_i for each $i \in [t]$. The standard projection of $\langle P, K^{\mathrm{diag}}\rangle$ onto L_i thus contains both $P \cap L_i$ and K and is therefore all of L_i. It follows now from (the conjugacy part of) Sylow's Theorem that $\langle P, K^{\mathrm{diag}}\rangle$ contains every Sylow p-subgroup of L_i.

As $K < L$ universally p-generates L, $P \cap L_i$ is nontrivial. As L is simple, it follows that the Sylow p-subgroups of L_i together generate L_i. Hence, $L_i \leq \langle P, K^{\mathrm{diag}}\rangle$ for each $i \in [t]$. □

Corollary 3.15. *Let L be a simple group, let p be a prime, and let t be a positive integer. Let G be a group with normal subgroup $N = L^t$, and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of N. If a proper subgroup $K < L$ universally p-generates L, then $\mathcal{C}(G,N)^{P\times K^{\mathrm{diag}}} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14. □

Corollary 3.16. *Let N, G, L, p and K be as in Corollary 3.15. If K is either cyclic or of prime-power order, then $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G,N)$ is not $\mathbb{F}_p$-acyclic.*

Proof. This follows directly from Corollary 3.15 and Theorem 3.4. □

Our strategy is now clear. We go through the list of nonabelian simple groups, as provided by the Classification. For each such group L, we look for some $K < L$ that universally 2-generates L and is either cyclic or of prime-power order. This strategy fails only when $L = A_7$, in which case we use a slight extension of Corollary 3.16.

Every nonabelian finite simple group is, up to isomorphism, an alternating group A_n with $n \geq 5$, a group of Lie type, or one of twenty six sporadic

groups. Note that the small alternating groups $A_5 \cong PSL_2(5)$, $A_6 \cong PSL_2(9)$ and $A_8 \cong PSL_4(2)$ are all isomorphic with simple groups of Lie type. (See for example [18, Theorem 2.2.10].)

Lemma 3.17. *If L is simple and $L \ncong A_7$, then there is some $K < L$ that is either cyclic or of prime-power order, and that universally 2-generates L.*

Indeed, the following claims hold.

1. *If $L = A_n$ with $n \geq 9$ odd and $h \in L$ is an n-cycle, then $\langle h \rangle$ universally 2-generates L.*
2. *If $L = A_n$ with $n \geq 10$ even and $h \in L$ is an $(n-1)$-cycle, then $\langle h \rangle$ universally 2-generates L.*
3. *If L is a sporadic simple group or a simple group of Lie type, then there is some odd prime p such that a Sylow p-subgroup of L universally 2-generates L.*

We will prove Claims (1) and (2) in Section 4, and Claim (3) in Section 6. We examine A_7 in Section 5, where we prove the following result.

Lemma 3.18. *If G has a minimal normal subgroup $N \cong A_7^t$, then $\Delta\mathcal{C}(G, N)$ is not $\mathbb{F}_2$-acyclic.*

Theorem 3.3 (and so Theorem 1.1) follows from Lemma 3.9, Corollary 3.16, Lemma 3.17, and Lemma 3.18.

4. Alternating groups of high degree

Here we prove Claims (1) and (2) of Lemma 3.17.

Our proof involves the standard division of subgroups of S_n into three classes. Such a subgroup H is *transitive* if for each $i, j \in [n]$ there is some $x \in H$ such that $ix = j$, and *intransitive* otherwise. A transitive subgroup H is *imprimitive* if there is some partition $\pi = \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_\ell\}$ of $[n]$ into subsets, such that $1 < \ell < n$, and such that for each $x \in H$ and each $i \in [\ell]$, there exists some $j \in [\ell]$ with $\pi_i x = \pi_j$. In this case, we say that H *stabilizes* π. A subgroup H is *primitive* if H is transitive but not imprimitive. So, each subgroup of S_n is intransitive, imprimitive or primitive. We begin with a classical result of Jordan.

Theorem 4.1 (Jordan [22]. See also [14, Example 3.3.1])**.** *If $n \geq 9$, then every primitive subgroup of S_n containing an element with exactly $n-4$ fixed points contains A_n.*

When $n \geq 4$, every Sylow 2-subgroup of A_n contains an element with exactly $n-4$ fixed points, namely, the product of two disjoint transpositions.

Corollary 4.2. *If $n \geq 9$, then no primitive proper subgroup of A_n contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of A_n.*

Suppose that the transitive subgroup $H \leq S_n$ stabilizes the partition $\pi = \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_\ell\}$ of $[n]$, with $1 < \ell < n$. The transitivity of H forces $|\pi_i| = |\pi_j|$ for all $i, j \in [\ell]$. Each π_i has size $d = n/\ell$. The full stabilizer G_π of π in S_n (which contains H) is isomorphic with the wreath product $S_d \wr S_\ell$, and thus has order $d!^\ell \ell!$. Now $G_\pi \not\leq A_n$, as G_π contains a transposition. It follows that $G_\pi \cap A_n$ contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of A_n if and only if $\frac{n!}{d!^\ell \ell!}$ is odd.

Lemma 4.3. *If n is odd, then no imprimitive subgroup of A_n contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of A_n.*

Proof. By the preceding discussion, it suffices to show that $\frac{n!}{d!^\ell \ell!}$ is even whenever d is a nontrivial proper divisor of n and $\ell = n/d$. Straightforward manipulations yield

$$\frac{n!}{d!^\ell \ell!} = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{jd-1}{d-1}.$$

It suffices to show any term in the product on the right is even. We calculate

$$\binom{2d-1}{d-1} = \frac{2d-1}{d}\binom{2d-2}{d-1} = \frac{2d-1}{d} \cdot 2\binom{2d-3}{d-1}.$$

Since the divisor d of n is odd, the result follows. □

Lemma 4.4. *If n is even, then no imprimitive subgroup of A_n contains an $(n-1)$-cycle.*

Proof. Assume for contradiction that the $(n-1)$-cycle $h \in A_n$ stabilizes the partition $\pi = \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_\ell\}$ with $1 < \ell < n$. Without loss of generality, the unique fixed point j of h lies in π_1. Now $\pi_1 h = \pi_1$. As $\langle h \rangle$ is transitive on $[n] \setminus \{j\}$ and $|\pi_1| > 1$, we obtain the contradiction $[n] = \pi_1$. □

No intransitive subgroup of A_n contains an n-cycle. Thus Claim (1) follows from Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.3. To prove Claim (2), it remains to show that when $n \geq 10$ is even, no intransitive subgroup of A_n contains both a Sylow 2-subgroup of A_n and an $(n-1)$-cycle. It suffices to show a Sylow 2-subgroup P of A_n contains a fixed-point-free element. Depending on $n \mod 4$, P contains a conjugate of either

$$(1,2,3,4)(5,6)\cdots(n-1,n) \quad \text{or} \quad (1,2)(3,4)\cdots(n-1,n).$$

5. The alternating group A_7

Here we prove Lemma 3.18.

The conclusion of Claim (1) of Lemma 3.17 does not hold for A_7. Indeed, A_7 has proper primitive subgroups that contain both a 7-cycle and a Sylow 2-subgroup of A_7. (Such subgroups are isomorphic to $PGL_3(2)$, and are embedded in A_7 through actions on points and lines in the Fano plane.) As a

result, we cannot apply Corollary 3.15 or Corollary 3.16. However, we can still apply Smith Theory (as in Theorem 3.4) when G has a minimal normal subgroup $N = A_7^t$.

We begin by collecting some information on A_7. All these facts are straightforward to confirm, or can be verified with [16] or [46].

Lemma 5.1. *The group A_7 has the following properties.*

(1) *There exist conjugacy classes $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ of subgroups of A_7 satisfying the following conditions.*
   (a) *If $K \in \mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2$, then $K \cong PGL_3(2)$, and so $[A_7 : K] = 15$.*
   (b) *A proper subgroup K of A_7 contains both a Sylow 2-subgroup of A_7 and a 7-cycle if and only if $K \in \mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2$.*
   (c) *If $K \in \mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2$, P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of K, and R is a Sylow 7-subgroup of K, then $\langle P^r : r \in R\rangle = K$.*

(2) *There is an involution $\varphi \in \mathsf{Aut}(A_7)$ satisfying the following conditions.*
   (a) *The automorphism φ normalizes both a Sylow 2-subgroup and a Sylow 7-subgroup of A_7.*
   (b) *If $K \in \mathcal{K}_1$ then $K^\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_2$, and if $K \in \mathcal{K}_2$ then $K^\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_1$.*

   Indeed, the automorphism arising from conjugation by $(1,2)(3,4)(5,6) \in S_7$ has the desired properties.

The next result follows directly from Lemma 5.1 and the universal property of direct products.

Lemma 5.2. *Let N be the direct product $\prod_{i=1}^t L_i$ with each $L_i \cong A_7$. There is an involution $\rho \in \mathsf{Aut}(N)$ such that the following claims hold for each $i \in [t]$.*

(1) *The automorphism ρ normalizes L_i.*
(2) *The automorphism ρ normalizes both a Sylow 2-subgroup P_i of L_i and a Sylow 7-subgroup $\langle h_i\rangle$ of L_i.*
(3) *If $H \leq L_i$ is normalized by ρ and contains both P_i and $\langle h_i\rangle$, then $H = L_i$.*

Moreover, ρ normalizes both $P := P_1P_2\cdots P_t$ and $K := \langle (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_t)\rangle$.

Remark 5.3. The automorphism ρ may be realized concretely by embedding $N \cong A_7^t$ in S_7^t, setting $x := (1,2)(3,4)(5,6) \in S_7$, and conjugating by the element $(x, x, \ldots, x) \in S_7^t$.

The next lemma is a special case of a theorem of P. Jin in [21]. It also can be proved directly using standard facts from the cohomology of groups (see [8, Chapter IV], particularly Corollary IV.6.8 therein).

We write $\mathsf{Inn}(M)$ for the inner automorphism group of a group M and $\mathsf{Out}(M)$ for the outer automorphism group $\mathsf{Aut}(M)/\mathsf{Inn}(M)$.

Lemma 5.4 (See [21, Corollary C]). *Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $Z(N) = 1$ and let $\rho \in \mathsf{Aut}(N)$. If the coset $\mathsf{Inn}(N)\rho$ is in $Z(\mathsf{Out}(N))$, then there exists some $\theta \in \mathsf{Aut}(G)$ such that*

(1) $|\theta| = |\rho|$,
(2) *θ normalizes N, and*
(3) *the restriction θ_N of θ to N is ρ.*

Applying Lemma 5.4 to the involution ρ described in Lemma 5.2, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose that the group G has a normal subgroup $N = \prod_{i=1}^{t} L_i$ with each $L_i \cong A_7$. If $\rho \in \mathsf{Aut}(N)$ is as in Lemma 5.2, then there exists an involution $\theta \in \mathsf{Aut}(G)$ such that θ normalizes N and $\theta_N = \rho$.*

Proof. Note first that $\mathsf{Aut}(A_7) \cong S_7$ (see for example [14, Section 8.2]). It follows that $\mathsf{Aut}(N) \cong S_7 \wr S_t$ (this is [14, Exercise 4.3.9]). As $Z(N) = 1$, it follows in turn that $\mathsf{Inn}(N) \cong N$ and $\mathsf{Out}(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \wr S_t$. Therefore $\mathsf{Out}(N)$ has a central element z of order 2.

Let $\varphi \in \mathsf{Aut}(N)$. The coset $\mathsf{Inn}(N)\varphi$ is equal to z if and only if the conditions

(a) φ normalizes L_i, and
(b) the restriction of φ to L_i is not in $\mathsf{Inn}(L_i)$

are satisfied for each $i \in [t]$. The automorphism ρ meets conditions (a) and (b). The conclusion now follows from Lemma 5.4. □

We are ready to complete the proof of Lemma 3.18. Suppose that the group G has a minimal normal subgroup $N = \prod_{i=1}^{t} L_i$ with each $L_i \cong A_7$. The automorphism θ obtained in Corollary 5.5 normalizes both groups P, K described in Lemma 5.2. Using the componentwise action of θ on $P \times K$, we obtain the semidirect product

$$E := (P \times K) \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle \leq (G \times G) \rtimes \mathsf{Aut}(G).$$

The group E acts on $\mathcal{C}(G)$ as described in Section 3.2. Since θ normalizes N, this action restricts to $\mathcal{C}(G, N)$. Since $|\theta| = 2$, the group E meets the conditions of Theorem 3.4 (as discussed in Remark 3.6). It thus suffices to show that $\mathcal{C}(G, N)^E = \emptyset$.

Assume for contradiction that $Hx \in \mathcal{C}(G, N)^E$. Then H contains $\left\langle P, K^{x^{-1}} \right\rangle$ and is normalized by θ. In particular, for each $i \in [t]$, the intersection $H \cap L_i$ is normalized by θ and contains P_i. Moreover, the projection of $H \cap N$ to L_i (which contains $H \cap L_i$ as a normal subgroup) is normalized by θ and contains $\langle h_i \rangle$. By Lemma 5.2 (3), this projection is L_i. As A_7 is simple and $H \cap L_i$ is nontrivial, it follows that $H \cap L_i = L_i$. Therefore $N \leq H$. This is impossible, as $H < G$ and $HN = G$.

6. Simple groups of Lie type and sporadic groups

Here we prove Claim (3) of Lemma 3.17. We refer the reader to [18, Chapter 2] for an introduction to the finite simple groups of Lie type, with original references. Each such group is determined by an irreducible crystallographic root system Σ, a (possibly trivial) automorphism σ of the Dynkin diagram of Σ and a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of order q. If σ has order d, we say that the *type* of the associated simple group is ${}^d\Sigma(q)$, suppressing d when $d = 1$. Much of what we need has already been proved by Damian and Lucchini in [10, Section 4]. We summarize their results as follows.

Theorem 6.1 (Damian and Lucchini [10])**.** *If L is a finite simple group of Lie type or a sporadic simple group, then one of the following conditions holds.*

(a) *There is some cyclic subgroup $C \leq L$ of prime order that universally 2-generates L.*
(b) *The group L is of Lie type $B_n(q)$ $(n \geq 3)$, $D_n(q)$ $(n \geq 4)$, or $G_2(q)$, and q is odd.*
(c) *The group L is of Lie type $A_5(2)$, $C_3(2)$, $D_4(2)$ or ${}^2A_3(2)$.*
(d) *The group L is the McLaughlin sporadic group McL.*

In fact, Damian and Lucchini give further restrictions on L when condition (b) of Theorem 6.1 holds, but we will not need these.

As every Sylow p-subgroup of a group G contains a conjugate of every element of order p in G, it remains to examine the groups listed in cases (b), (c) and (d) of Theorem 6.1.

Suppose L is a simple group of type ${}^d\Sigma(q)$ and q is a power of the prime p. We say that L has *characteristic* p and call a subgroup $M \leq L$ *parabolic* if M contains the normalizer of some Sylow p-subgroup of L. The following result is attributed to Tits by Seitz in [37, (1.6)].

Lemma 6.2 (Tits)**.** *Let L be a simple group of Lie type in characteristic p, and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of L. Every maximal subgroup of L containing P is parabolic.*

The groups of types $A_5(2)$, $C_3(2)$, $D_4(2)$ and ${}^2A_3(2)$ are all classical groups. The orders of parabolic subgroups of classical groups are known, and can be found in [25]. It is straightforward to confirm that the index of each parabolic subgroup of each of the four given groups is divisible by three. The same holds for the index of each maximal subgroup of odd index in McL, as can be confirmed by consulting [46]. We obtain the following result.

Lemma 6.3. *If the simple group L is listed in case (c) or case (d) of Theorem 6.1, then L is universally 2-generated by any of its Sylow 3-subgroups.*

It remains to handle case (b). Key to the work of Damian and Lucchini in [10] is a result of Liebeck and Saxl in [26], in which the authors describe all

primitive permutation groups of odd degree. (See also the paper [24] of Kantor, in particular Lemma 2.3 therein.) Such a description necessarily includes a list of all pairs (M, L) such that L is a finite simple group and M is a maximal subgroup of odd index in L. Consulting this list, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 6.4 (Liebeck and Saxl [26]; see also [24, Lemma 2.3])**.** *If L is a simple group of Lie type in odd characteristic and some parabolic subgroup of L contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of L, then the type of L is one of $A_n(q)$ or $E_6(q)$.*

Consulting [18, Theorem 2.2.10], we see that, assuming the lower bounds on n listed in case (b), there exists no isomorphism between a group of type $B_n(q)$, $D_n(q)$ or $G_2(q)$ with q odd and a group of type $A_n(q)$ or $E_6(q)$. Thus Lemmas 6.2 and 6.4 together complete our proof of Claim (3).

References

[1] Herbert Abels and Stephan Holz, *Higher generation by subgroups*, J. Algebra **160** (1993), no. 2, 310–341.

[2] Michael Aschbacher, *Finite group theory*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 10, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[3] Matthias Beck, *Combinatorial reciprocity theorems*, Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. **114** (2012), no. 1, 3–22, arXiv:1201.2212.

[4] Anders Björner, *Topological methods*, Handbook of combinatorics, Vol. 1, 2, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 1819–1872.

[5] Nigel Boston, *A probabilistic generalization of the Riemann zeta function*, Analytic number theory, Vol. 1 (Allerton Park, IL, 1995), Progr. Math., vol. 138, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996, pp. 155–162.

[6] Kenneth S. Brown, *Euler characteristics of discrete groups and G-spaces*, Invent. Math. **27** (1974), 229–264.

[7] ———, *Euler characteristics of groups: the p-fractional part*, Invent. Math. **29** (1975), no. 1, 1–5.

[8] ———, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994, Corrected reprint of the 1982 original.

[9] ———, *The coset poset and probabilistic zeta function of a finite group*, J. Algebra **225** (2000), no. 2, 989–1012.

[10] Erika Damian and Andrea Lucchini, *The probabilistic zeta function of finite simple groups*, J. Algebra **313** (2007), no. 2, 957–971.

[11] ———, *Profinite groups in which the probabilistic zeta function coincides with the subgroup zeta function*, J. Algebra **402** (2014), 92–119.

[12] Erika Damian, Andrea Lucchini, and Fiorenza Morini, *Some properties of the probabilistic zeta function on finite simple groups*, Pacific J. Math. **215** (2004), no. 1, 3–14.

[13] Eloisa Detomi and Andrea Lucchini, *Recognizing soluble groups from their probabilistic zeta functions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), no. 5, 659–664.

[14] John D. Dixon and Brian Mortimer, *Permutation groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[15] Silvio Dolfi, Robert M. Guralnick, Marcel Herzog, and Cheryl E. Praeger, *A new solvability criterion for finite groups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **85** (2012), no. 2, 269–281, arXiv:1105.0475.
[16] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.12*, 2008.
[17] Wolfgang Gaschütz, *Die Eulersche Funktion endlicher auflösbarer Gruppen*, Illinois J. Math. **3** (1959), 469–476.
[18] Daniel Gorenstein, Richard Lyons, and Ronald Solomon, *The classification of the finite simple groups. Number 3. Part I. Chapter A*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 40, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, Almost simple K-groups.
[19] Philip Hall, *The Eulerian functions of a group*, Quart. J. Math. **7** (1936), 134–151.
[20] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, http://www.math.cornell.edu/∼hatcher/AT/ATpage.html.
[21] Ping Jin, *Automorphisms of groups*, J. Algebra **312** (2007), no. 2, 562–569.
[22] Camille Jordan, *Sur la limite du degré des groupes primitifs qui contiennent une substitution donnée*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **79** (1875), 248–258.
[23] Jeff Kahn, Michael Saks, and Dean Sturtevant, *A topological approach to evasiveness*, Combinatorica **4** (1984), no. 4, 297–306.
[24] William M. Kantor, *Primitive permutation groups of odd degree, and an application to finite projective planes*, J. Algebra **106** (1987), no. 1, 15–45.
[25] Peter Kleidman and Martin Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
[26] Martin W. Liebeck and Jan Saxl, *The primitive permutation groups of odd degree*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **31** (1985), no. 2, 250–264.
[27] Avinoam Mann, *Positively finitely generated groups*, Forum Math. **8** (1996), no. 4, 429–459.
[28] James R. Munkres, *Elements of algebraic topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
[29] Robert Oliver, *Fixed-point sets of group actions on finite acyclic complexes*, Comment. Math. Helv. **50** (1975), 155–177.
[30] Massimiliano Patassini, *The probabilistic zeta function of* $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$*, of the Suzuki groups* ${}^2B_2(q)$ *and of the Ree groups* ${}^2G_2(q)$, Pacific J. Math. **240** (2009), no. 1, 185–200.
[31] ———, *On the (non-)contractibility of the order complex of the coset poset of a classical group*, J. Algebra **343** (2011), 37–77.
[32] ———, *Recognizing the characteristic of a simple group of Lie type from its probabilistic zeta function*, J. Algebra **332** (2011), 480–499.
[33] ———, *On the irreducibility of the Dirichlet polynomial of an alternating group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), no. 8, 4041–4062.
[34] ———, *On the (non-)contractibility of the order complex of the coset poset of an alternating group*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova **129** (2013), 35–46.
[35] Daniel Quillen, *Homotopy properties of the poset of nontrivial p-subgroups of a group*, Adv. in Math. **28** (1978), no. 2, 101–128.
[36] Daniel A. Ramras, *Connectivity of the coset poset and the subgroup poset of a group*, J. Group Theory **8** (2005), no. 6, 719–746, arXiv:math/0210001.
[37] Gary M. Seitz, *Flag-transitive subgroups of Chevalley groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **97** (1973), 27–56.

[38] John Shareshian, *On the probabilistic zeta function for finite groups*, J. Algebra **210** (1998), no. 2, 703–707.
[39] P. A. Smith, *Fixed-point theorems for periodic transformations*, Amer. J. Math. **63** (1941), 1–8.
[40] Richard P. Stanley, *Combinatorial reciprocity theorems*, Advances in Math. **14** (1974), 194–253.
[41] ———, *Combinatorial reciprocity theorems*, Combinatorics, Part 2: Graph theory; foundations, partitions and combinatorial geometry (Proc. Adv. Study Inst., Breukelen, 1974), Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1974, pp. 107–118. Math. Centre Tracts, No. 56.
[42] ———, *Enumerative combinatorics. Volume 1*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
[43] E. Torres-Giese, *Higher commutativity and nilpotency in finite groups*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **44** (2012), no. 6, 1259–1273.
[44] Michelle L. Wachs, *Poset topology: Tools and applications*, Geometric combinatorics, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, arXiv:math/0602226, pp. 497–615.
[45] Louis Weisner, *Abstract theory of inversion of finite series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1935), no. 3, 474–484.
[46] Robert Wilson et al., *Atlas of finite group representations*, http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/.
[47] Russ Woodroofe, *Shelling the coset poset*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **114** (2007), no. 4, 733–746, arXiv:math/0306346.
[48] ———, *Cubical convex ear decompositions*, Electron. J. Combin. **16** (2009), no. 2, Special volume in honor of Anders Bjorner, Research Paper 17, approx. 33 pp., arXiv:0709.2793.

Department of Mathematics, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, 63130
E-mail address: shareshi@math.wustl.edu

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS 39762
E-mail address: rwoodroofe@math.msstate.edu